

MAY. 19. 2003 2:45PM

GENERAL COUNSEL

NO. 247

P. 1

#9128  
5/23/03

**Aventis Behring**

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**Aventis**  
full submission  
of Paper #8

**Fax**

To:  
Examiner T. Strzelecka  
Group Art Unit 1637  
Fax: +703.746.3158  
Number of pages: Cover + 21

From:  
Linda J. Remer  
Legal  
Tel.: 610.878.4073  
Fax: 610.878.4334  
Linda.Remer@Aventis.com


official  
TS  
5/22/03

19 May 2003  
Application No: 09/890,297  
Filing Date: 4 January 2002  
First Named Inventor: H. Van Urk  
Entitled: *Process*

Dear Examiner Strzelecka,

Transmitted herewith is a resubmission of a Reply to Requirement for Restriction Under 35 USC 121 and 372 with Amendment Under 37 CFR 1.111 which was facsimile transmitted to the Group facsimile number 703.308.4242 on 18 April 2003. Also being transmitted with this resubmission is the confirmation page showing that 20 pages were successfully transmitted on 18 April 2003.

Respectfully Submitted,



Linda J. Remer  
Patent Paralegal

**Confidentiality:** This facsimile transmission may contain confidential or legally privileged information, which is intended only for the authorized use of the individual or entity designated above without right of publication, republication, dissemination or disclosure except as expressly set forth or established by course of dealing. All rights reserved. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this facsimile transmission or its contents is prohibited, and we request that you notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for return of this facsimile.

Aventis Behring I. I. C. - 1070 First Avenue - PO Box 61501 - King of Prussia, PA 19406-0901 - www.aventis.com

Received from <6108784221> at 5/19/03 2:51:30 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Appl. No. 09/890,297  
Amdt. Dated April 18, 2003  
Reply to Office action of February 20, 2003

Attorney File No.: CE0253 US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: H. Van Urk et al.

Art Unit: 1637

Serial No.: 09/890,297

Examiner: Strzelecka, T. E.


Filing Date: 01/04/2002

Atty. File No.: CE0253 US

Title: Process

-----  
**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being facsimile transmitted on the date shown below, addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Art Unit 1637, Washington, D.C. 20231, Facsimile No (703) 308-4242.

Date: 18 APRIL 2003  
Linda J. Remer

-----  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**REPLY TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION UNDER 35 USC 121 AND 372  
WITH AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Sir:

**Introductory Comments**

The subject application is a PCT National Phase Application filed under 35 USC 371. In the Office Action dated February 20, 2003, the examiner has required restriction and election under 37 CFR 1.499 among Groups I-V because, under PCT Rule 13.1, the inventions of original claims 1-53 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, that is, they lack unity of invention. Applicants elect the subject matter of the examiner's Group III, claims 20, 43 and 44, without traverse. New claims 54-113, presented below are drawn to the elected subject matter.

This amendment paper is submitted under the Pre-OG Notice entitled "Amendments in a Revised Format Now Permitted", last modified 02/24/03.

Applicants respectfully request a one month extension of time to respond under 37 CFR 1.136, extending the due date from March 20, 2003 to April 20, 2003. The fee for the extension of time is included in the attached fee sheet.

Appln. No.09/890,297  
Amdt. Dated April 18, 2003  
Reply to Office action of February 20, 2003

Attorney File No.: CE0253 US

Applicants note that the examiner incorrectly states that the claims under consideration are claims 1-53. Claims 4-7, 10-19, 21-42, and 47-52 were cancelled by Applicants' Preliminary Amendment. The Official Filing Receipt reflects the cancellation of these claims in that the total claims paid for was listed therein as 9. Thus, the Examiner's objections to claims 6, 7, 12-19, 21-42 and 47-52 were and remain moot.

**An Amendment to the Title** begins on page 3 of this paper

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 4 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 15 of this paper.